

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,557	09/27/2001	Richard Charles Allen	55871US002	4597	
32692	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
	N 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	-	
		DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	14.54	<del>-</del>	- $1$ $1$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0.0
. 1	Advisory Action	09/966,557	ALLEN ET AL.	
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner 571-272-2318	Art Unit	
		Joshua L. Pritchett	2872	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 18 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice.o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.	
been CFR above earne	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stap, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
	NDMENTS			
3. [	<u></u> pp			ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		) I E below);	
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		46 - : 6
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal, and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. [	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗌			•	•
3. <u> </u>	- , , ,	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. 🗵	the non-allowable claim(s).  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-19 and 21.	•		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		•
AFF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence i	s necessary
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation the control of the	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ned.
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	-

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

13. Other: \_

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Yamamoto reference does not suggest a film. A film is defined as a thin covering or coating. Yamamoto states that the invention shown in Fig. 2 is to be used for a liquid crystal display. The light (23) coming from blow the assembly (Fig. 2) suggests that the assembly is used as a covering for a liquid crystal display. Therefore the Yamamoto reference meets the claimed limitations. Applicant further argues that cooperation does not mean the same thing as facilitation. Facilitation means to make easier. Cooperation means to work together for mutual benefit. These two definitions overlap because a mutual benefit would be to make an operation easier, therefore the Yamamoto reference meets the claimed limitations. Applicant further argues that for facilitation to occur the layers must be in physical contact. There is no limitation in the claim that requires just a relationship between the two layers. Further as stated above the cooperation between layer 12 and layers 14 and 15 meets the "facilitate" claim limitation based on the definition of the two terms.